

Review of: "First Days after Death - A Jungian Comparison between the Beliefs of the Serbian Orthodox Church and the Post-mortem Experiences in the Tibetan Bardo Plan"

punjaphut Thirathamrongwee

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article presents innovative research on the 'links' between two religious traditions after death. The presentation is interesting and offers a shared viewpoint between religions.

However, it would be more convincing if the author could directly quote Bardo from the Tibetan scriptures (primary source) or at least use Walter Evans Wentz's version, which cites C.G. Jung's writings mentioning Bardo. This would make the comparison of presentations an implicit psychological matter and may raise questions about Jung's interpretation. This could become the main point of the article's arguments, rather than the implications of promoting ideological issues of both sectarians.

A clear separation of methodological topics might still be necessary, even if it is an article in the social sciences or humanities group. This could help the author clarify their access to data sources and analysis processes.

Reviewer saw that in the abstract, the author clearly stated that it was a psychological matter. Of course, if the research studies from manuscripts or approaches 'direct teachings from Tibetan Lamas,' it might make this research more solid. The author would have a profound understanding of both religions, be able to interpret the original teachings, and focus on the religious principles that are pure philosophy.

Reviewer suggests removing the Wikipedia reference, which can be edited by anyone, and finding new references.

The first half of the article could be written more concisely.