

# Review of: "Responsible Geosciences, or Geoscience Literacy for Urbanites"

Zhe Wang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Idaho

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

1.Lack of Concrete Data: This research paper lacks concrete numerical data or statistical analysis which could have strengthened the arguments made. Without them, it is unable to provide quantitative evidence to substantiate its points.

2.Broad Scope: The research paper covers a very broad area, trying to argue the importance of geoscience for urbanites. Narrowing its focus to specific aspects of geoscience literacy could have provided more depth and detailed analysis.

3.Lack of Methodology: The paper fails to explicitly state a methodology used to gather and analyze information. This leaves questions about how conclusions were reached and the validity of the argument.

4.Absence of Counter-Arguments: The paper only presents the imperative need for geoscience literacy among urbanites, not considering or acknowledging any counter-arguments or limitations that might exist. It thus fails to provide a comprehensive, balanced view of the subject.

5.Unanswered Questions: Despite discussing the importance of geoscience literacy, it does not give thorough suggestions or strategies about how geoscience literacy could be improved among urbanites.