Review of: "Kalya Research: Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) Virtual Research Assistant from Biomedical Literature"

Tatik Kusyanti[1]

1 Universitas Padjadjaran

2. *Evaluation of the tool on a practical case*

We have evaluated the tool in a practical case, that of alopecia in breast cancer. The aim is to compare the results, their relevance and the time taken to sort the results.

We used the criteria of the PICO (Patient Intervention Comparison and Outcomes) method. The bibliographic search was carried out using 2 different methods: Medline (see Table 2) and the KR tool (see Table 2). Given the search theme, the criteria are as follows:

- Patient: patients with breast cancer, whatever age and stage of cancer.
- Intervention: any NPI
- Comparison: standard care or any NPI
- Outcome: alopecia.

In relation to the article above, I have not seen any criteria for limiting the year of publication of articles that will be included in the included criteria

3.It would be good if it added that the application of the results of this article is useful for the domains: scientific, institutional, practical

4. Regarding the application of Kalya Research (KR), whether it has limitations related to local culture

5 In Figure 11. Flow Chart... it would be better if you added a box containing duplicate articles found in the search database

6. I appreciate the innovation of this article and hopefully it will further develop other innovation research studies. Suksess