

# Review of: "Why naturalists must give up deduction, or return to Hume"

Son Pham

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Even if it's intriguing, a lot more research, scholarly writing, and convincing arguments are still desperately needed (feels a lot superficial).

- The onset assumption is not strong: Naturalists typically reject a priori knowledge.
- Deduction, induction, and abduction are reasoning methods, and not fixed categories for naturalists (hasty generalization).
- Limitation of Hume account should be discussed.

**Suggestion:** Clarifying objectives and research method from the beginning might be helpful to increase the strength of the paper.