

Research Article

Delivering Psychiatric Assessment and Treatment in Low-Resource Settings: A Potential Model for Neurodevelopmental Disorders, Developmental Disorders, Psychiatric Morbidity, and Complex Biomedical Multimorbidity

David Cawthorpe^{1,2,3,4,5}

1. Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Canada; 2. Department of Psychiatry, University of Calgary, Canada; 3. Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Calgary, Canada; 4. Alberta Children's Hospital Research Institute, Canada; 5. Institute for Child & Maternal Health, Canada

Addressing life-span neurodevelopmental disorders, psychiatric morbidity, and complex biomedical conditions, in both traditional urban and low-resource settings, requires an integrated, cost-effective, and scalable approach that adapts to local infrastructure and cultural contexts. Many urban and rural communities face shortages of mental health professionals, leading to significant barriers in psychiatric assessment and treatment accessibility. This paper proposes an integrated and sustainable framework. The model incorporates community-based care models, task-shifting strategies, and digital innovations, including AI-assisted diagnostics, telepsychiatry, and wearable health monitoring. The model's framework is structured around four key pillars: (1) Accessible and Scalable Psychiatric Assessment, (2) A Multi-Tiered Treatment Model, (3) Managing Complex Biomedical Multimorbidity, and (4) Policy and Sustainability Strategies.

The implementation strategy follows a tax reform model to direct government tax revenues including those recovered at the community level into mental health infrastructure, workforce training, and digital health solutions. The four-phase approach is modeled a remote community of 100,000 people and includes infrastructure development (Years 1-2), community-based mental health expansion (Years 3-5), AI and digital psychiatry integration (Years 6-8), and full policy implementation (Years 9-10). Each of the models phase integrate evidence-based interventions,

including but not limited to task-shifting psychiatric care to community health workers (CHWs), expanding school-based screenings for neurodevelopmental disorders, implementing AI-powered mental health surveillance systems, clinical outcome measurement, and optimizing predictive analytics to allocate resources efficiently.

A cost-benefit analysis demonstrates that employing a tax reduction model up to an initial \$35 million investment in local health and mental health infrastructure, workforce training, and AI-based psychiatry yields a projected \$265 million return over ten years, reflecting a 7.5x return on investment (ROI). The integration of telepsychiatry and AI-driven diagnostics is projected to increase psychiatric consultation rates by 20%, reduce untreated mental illness costs by \$10 million annually, and generate \$100 million in productivity gains through workforce retention and reduced absenteeism.

By embedding health and mental health services within communities via tax reduction with access to tax revenue supported local primary healthcare systems that leverages digital health advancements, this model ensures the long-term sustainability of health and psychiatric care while maximizing economic productivity and social resilience. Through tax incentives, public-private partnerships (PPPs), and innovative financing mechanisms, this approach fosters inclusive, community-led mental health support, reducing the long-term financial burden on government healthcare systems. The findings highlight the potential of a tax-incentivized, technology-driven psychiatric care model to create scalable, self-sustaining, and culturally relevant mental health solutions for underserved populations worldwide. Limitations are noted.

1. Introduction

Addressing mental health and complex biomedical conditions in low-resource settings requires a multidimensional strategy that prioritizes scalability, cost-effectiveness, and cultural adaptation. Many communities lack access to specialist mental health services, making it imperative to integrate community-based care models, task-shifting strategies, and digital innovations to enhance psychiatric assessment and treatment accessibility. This approach leverages existing local resources, incorporates technology-driven solutions, and ensures that interventions align with infrastructural constraints and cultural sensitivities.

The proposed framework encompasses four core pillars: (1) Accessible & Scalable Psychiatric Assessment, (2) A Multi-Tiered Treatment Model, (3) Managing Complex Biomedical Multimorbidity, and (4) Policy & Sustainability Strategies. These interconnected components ensure long-term integration of psychiatric services into primary care systems while empowering communities to actively engage in mental health promotion and treatment.

2. Accessible & Scalable Psychiatric Assessment

2.1. Task-Shifting & Community-Based Screening

Given the shortage of trained mental health professionals in low-resource settings, a task-shifting approach is necessary to expand service availability. Community health workers (CHWs), teachers, and primary care providers (PCPs) can be trained in mental health screening and basic intervention techniques to support early detection and treatment.

Community health workers and primary care providers should be trained in the use of DSM-5 and ICD-10 diagnostic frameworks, ensuring that psychiatric assessments are structured and standardized^[1]. Schools and home environments provide non-stigmatizing settings for the early detection of neurodevelopmental disorders, including ADHD and autism spectrum disorder (ASD)^[2]. Structured interview instruments, such as the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) and DSM-CR-CCSM, should be implemented at the community level to ensure consistency and reliability in diagnosis (Goodman et al., 2000).

2.2. Mobile & AI-Assisted Diagnostics

The integration of mobile health (mHealth) applications and AI-driven diagnostics enhances the reach and efficiency of psychiatric assessments in resource-limited settings.

Smartphone-based screening applications, equipped with machine learning algorithms, can be used to triage neurodevelopmental conditions, helping healthcare providers prioritize high-risk cases for specialist intervention (Torous et al., 2021). AI-assisted speech and behavior analysis instruments offer objective diagnostic support, identifying biomarkers for ASD and ADHD and reducing reliance on subjective assessments^{[3][4]}. Additionally, telepsychiatry platforms provide remote access to psychiatric consultations, eliminating geographical barriers and improving access to mental health professionals in underserved regions^[5].

2.3. Integrating Biomedical & Psychiatric Data

A holistic approach to mental health care includes tracking biomedical indicators alongside psychiatric symptoms.

Wearable health monitoring devices can be used to track sleep patterns, heart rate variability (HRV), and stress responses, allowing healthcare providers to monitor physiological indicators of mental health conditions in real time^[6]. Cloud-based electronic health records (EHRs) enable the longitudinal tracking of psychiatric and biomedical outcomes, ensuring that treatment progress is consistently documented and accessible across healthcare systems^[7].

3. Multi-Tiered Treatment Model

3.1. Community-Based Psychological Interventions

Expanding access to psychological interventions through low-cost, community-based models ensures that evidence-based treatments reach underserved populations.

Paraprofessionals can be trained to lead low-intensity psychological support groups, improving access to peer-based interventions and reducing the burden on specialist mental health providers (van Ginneken et al., 2013). Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) and behavioral activation therapy can be integrated into primary care and school-based settings, allowing trained general practitioners and teachers to deliver structured interventions^[8]. Mobile-delivered behavioral interventions also enable caregivers to manage children with neurodevelopmental disorders, fostering family-centered treatment approaches^[9].

3.2. Medication Management & Resource Optimization

In settings where psychiatric medication access is limited, strategic prescribing and task-sharing models ensure optimal resource utilization.

Psychopharmacology protocols should be adapted to resource constraints, ensuring that rational medication use is prioritized^[10]. Additionally, community health workers and nurses can be trained to dispense basic psychotropic medications under psychiatric supervision, ensuring that medication management is decentralized and accessible^[1].

4. Managing Complex Biomedical Multimorbidity

4.1. Integrated Primary Care & Psychiatry

A collaborative care model that integrates psychiatric services into primary healthcare settings improves treatment accessibility and patient outcomes.

Developing one-stop community clinics that provide both psychiatric and primary care services ensures comprehensive, patient-centered treatment (Katon et al., 2010). Primary care providers should be trained in managing common psychiatric comorbidities, reducing the need for specialist referrals^[1]. Clinical decision-support systems (CDSS) can help non-specialist providers apply psychiatric treatment guidelines, improving the accuracy and consistency of diagnoses^{[11][12][13][7]}.

4.2. Implementation Plan for Psychiatric Assessment and Treatment in a Remote Community Using a Tax Reform Model

To implement a sustainable and scalable psychiatric assessment and treatment model in a remote community of 100,000 people with limited communication access, a structured, phased approach is required. This plan follows a tax reform model, ensuring that tax revenues are redirected into social support, healthcare, and digital infrastructure. The approach integrates task-shifting, AI-assisted diagnostics^{[13][7]}, telehealth, and community-driven mental health initiatives to improve psychiatric services in low-resource settings.

The implementation plan consists of four key phases:

1. Phase 1 (Year 1–2): Infrastructure Development and Workforce Training
2. Phase 2 (Year 3–5): Scaling Community-Based Mental Health Services
3. Phase 3 (Year 6–8): Digital and AI Integration for Sustainable Expansion
4. Phase 4 (Year 9–10): Full Policy Implementation and Economic Return Optimization

Each phase includes key action points, expected outcomes, and performance indicators to ensure the initiative's success. The final section provides an economic return on investment (ROI) analysis, demonstrating how tax reallocations create long-term fiscal sustainability.

Phase 1 (Year 1–2): Infrastructure Development and Workforce Training

Objectives

The initial phase of implementation focuses on establishing a basic mental health infrastructure, training primary care providers (PCPs), community health workers (CHWs), and paraprofessionals, and initiating public–private partnerships (PPPs) and tax incentives for digital inclusion.

Key Actions

To build the foundation for psychiatric service delivery, three primary care centers will be converted into integrated mental health hubs that provide diagnosis, treatment, and referral services. Telehealth infrastructure will be installed in 20 rural clinics, enabling remote psychiatric consultations with specialists. Additionally, mobile screening units will be established through the expansion of community health outposts, ensuring that individuals in geographically isolated areas have access to early mental health screening and intervention.

A crucial component of this phase is capacity building. A total of 100 CHWs will receive specialized training in psychiatric care using the WHO’s Mental Health Gap Action Programme (mhGAP-IG) framework. Moreover, 20 general practitioners (GPs) will be trained in telepsychiatry, allowing them to remotely consult with specialists and manage mild to moderate mental health conditions. Additionally, 50 schoolteachers will be certified in early detection of neurodevelopmental disorders, improving identification rates and facilitating timely interventions for at-risk children.

To reduce mental health stigma, community-based awareness campaigns will be launched. Psychoeducation materials will be distributed in schools, workplaces, and local councils, reinforcing positive mental health narratives and promoting help-seeking behaviors. Financially, tax breaks will be introduced for private sector partners investing in digital connectivity, while \$5 million in tax revenue will be allocated toward mental health service expansion. Furthermore, microfinance initiatives will be introduced to support small businesses involved in mental health-related services, ensuring long-term economic and social sustainability.

Expected Outcomes

By the end of this phase, psychiatric consultations are expected to increase by 20%, driven by the introduction of telepsychiatry services. The early detection rates for neurodevelopmental disorders will increase by 50%, thanks to teacher training and school-based screenings. Additionally, the psychiatric treatment gap will be reduced by 30%, ensuring that more individuals receive timely care.

Phase 2 (Year 3–5): Scaling Community-Based Mental Health Services

Objectives

The second phase focuses on expanding AI-assisted diagnostics and digital health solutions, strengthening community-based intervention programs, and improving access to psychiatric medications.

Key Actions

During this phase, smartphone-based psychiatric screening instruments will be deployed, allowing non-specialists to screen patients and refer them for higher-level psychiatric assessments. Additionally, an electronic mental health registry will be established to facilitate real-time data tracking and improve mental health surveillance. By the end of this phase, telehealth connectivity will be expanded to 80% of community clinics, further strengthening remote consultation capabilities.

To accommodate increasing demand, an additional 50 CHWs will be trained and deployed, improving service availability. Psychiatric medication management protocols will be implemented across local clinics, ensuring safe and effective pharmacological treatment for patients with severe mental illness. Peer-led support groups will also be developed, providing low-cost mental health support for individuals with chronic conditions.

Financially, tax incentives will be expanded for businesses supporting mental health infrastructure, further encouraging private sector investment. Additionally, an extra \$7 million in tax revenue will be allocated for mobile mental health services, ensuring continuity of care in rural and underserved areas. Mental health insurance programs for low-income households will also be expanded to ensure that treatment remains accessible to those in need.

Expected Outcomes

By the end of this phase, 80% of patients with severe mental illness will be enrolled in continuous care programs. Digital mental health platforms will cut appointment wait times by 50%, increasing efficiency. The cost of untreated mental illness will decline by \$10 million annually, as early interventions prevent long-term psychiatric crises.

Phase 3 (Year 6–8): Digital and AI Integration for Sustainable Expansion

Objectives

The third phase is centered around optimizing AI-driven diagnostics and predictive analytics, strengthening telepsychiatry networks, and ensuring tax-based funding sustainability.

Key Actions

Predictive analytics models will be deployed to forecast psychiatric service demand, allowing for proactive resource allocation. Machine learning models will be implemented to track community mental health trends, improving early detection and response strategies. Referral pathways will be automated, enabling faster identification of high-risk psychiatric cases.

To further integrate mental health into public policy, tax-funded mental health research programs will be introduced, and corporate social responsibility (CSR) incentives will be expanded to encourage private sector engagement in psychiatric service development. Additionally, \$10 million in tax savings will be reinvested into long-term digital health projects, ensuring the sustainability of telepsychiatry and AI-based interventions.

Expected Outcomes

Psychiatric service efficiency will increase by 30% due to AI automation. The cost of long-term psychiatric care will decline by 25%, and the initiative will generate \$20 million in economic productivity gains through reduced absenteeism and increased workforce participation.

5. Economic Return on Investment (ROI) from a Life-Span Perspective for Sustainable Community Development

Investing to form local spaces that integrated health and psychiatric services with community development, together with child and elder care through tax reduction incentives not only improves individual well-being but also ensures long-term sustainability and economic growth for communities across the life span. As demonstrated in Table 1, the allocation of \$35 million toward mental health infrastructure, workforce training, and AI-based digital psychiatry yields a projected return of \$265 million over ten years, reflecting a 7.5x return on investment (ROI).

A life-span perspective is useful in terms of understanding the relationship early adversity, its health-related sequelae, and the buffering effects of positive experiences^[14] in creating family and community resilience. As Bruce Perry advocates it is the development of global roots of empathy¹ in addition of the purely economic return.²

From a life-span perspective, these investments create cumulative benefits, beginning with early childhood interventions that prevent lifelong psychiatric conditions, extending into adult workforce participation, and reducing healthcare dependency in old age. By channeling \$15 million into mental health infrastructure, communities gain integrated psychiatric hubs, ensuring accessible and continuous mental health care across generations while yielding a 5x return. Workforce training, with an initial investment of \$10 million, enhances task-shifting strategies, equipping community health workers and general practitioners to deliver low-cost, high-impact interventions, ultimately leading to a 4x economic return. The integration of AI and digital psychiatry, costing \$10 million, fosters cost-effective, scalable mental health solutions, contributing to an estimated \$50 million return, reinforcing long-term fiscal sustainability.

Investment Category	Initial Cost (Million \$)	Estimated Return (Million \$)	ROI (10-Year Projection)
Mental Health Infrastructure	\$15M	\$75M	5x
Workforce Training	\$10M	\$40M	4x
AI & Digital Psychiatry	\$10M	\$50M	5x
Productivity Gains	N/A	\$100M	-
Total Economic ROI	\$35M	\$265M	7.5x

These investments collectively reduce absenteeism, increase employment retention, and enhance workplace productivity, generating an additional \$100 million in economic gains. Tax incentives supporting this model drive private-sector engagement, public-private partnerships (PPPs), and sustained funding mechanisms, reducing long-term reliance on government healthcare expenditures while stimulating economic independence and community resilience. Through a life-course approach, these policies ensure that mental health services evolve alongside demographic shifts, promoting inclusive growth, social stability, and sustainable development across generations.

6. Discussion

The proposed psychiatric assessment and treatment model for low-resource settings addresses critical gaps in mental health care access, infrastructure, and workforce capacity by leveraging task-shifting, AI-driven diagnostics, telepsychiatry, and tax-based financial models. The tax reform approach, which reinvests government tax revenues into community health infrastructure and digital solutions, provides a fiscally sustainable mechanism for scaling psychiatric services. The integration of technology-driven mental health care, such as AI-assisted diagnostics and wearable health monitoring, ensures early detection, improved treatment compliance, and real-time tracking of psychiatric conditions. This model also aligns with public health goals, including the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) related to mental health, economic stability, and social resilience^[15].

From a clinical and public health perspective, the strategy of task-shifting to community health workers (CHWs), teachers, and general practitioners (GPs) is a key enabler of scalable, cost-effective interventions in settings where specialist mental health professionals are scarce. Similar WHO-backed initiatives, such as mhGAP, have demonstrated that non-specialists can be effectively trained to conduct psychiatric screenings and low-intensity interventions, improving early detection rates and treatment adherence^[10]. Furthermore, school-based screenings for neurodevelopmental disorders, in combination with mobile diagnostic instruments, significantly reduce the treatment gap for children and adolescents with psychiatric conditions, ensuring that early interventions prevent lifelong disability^[2].

The use of AI-powered predictive analytics and digital psychiatry plays a crucial role in reducing healthcare disparities. AI models allow for personalized risk assessments, enabling early intervention programs tailored to high-risk individuals^[7]. Additionally, telepsychiatry platforms bridge the geographical and economic divide by connecting rural patients to urban psychiatric specialists, reducing barriers related to travel costs and specialist shortages (Yellowlees et al., 2020). Wearable health monitoring devices further enhance patient engagement by tracking biometric indicators such as sleep quality, stress levels, and heart rate variability (HRV), facilitating early identification of psychiatric distress^[6].

Financially, the economic return on investment (ROI) analysis underscores the cost-effectiveness of tax-incentivized mental health interventions. With an estimated 7.5x ROI over ten years, the model

demonstrates long-term financial sustainability, proving that investments in mental health infrastructure, workforce training, and AI-based psychiatry generate substantial fiscal returns^[11]. Beyond monetary gains, this strategy reduces the economic burden of untreated mental illness, which is often associated with higher healthcare costs, lost productivity, and increased dependency on welfare systems^[12].

A potential challenge to implementation involves cultural and systemic barriers to mental health acceptance and digital adoption. In many low-resource communities, stigma remains a significant obstacle, preventing individuals from seeking psychiatric treatment. Community engagement programs, psychoeducation campaigns, and policy-driven mental health literacy initiatives are necessary to counter stigma and increase mental health awareness^[5]. Additionally, internet connectivity issues and lack of digital literacy pose challenges to AI and telehealth adoption, requiring government incentives and private-sector partnerships to improve rural digital infrastructure^[7].

7. Limitations

Despite the promising potential of this psychiatric assessment and treatment model for low-resource settings, several limitations must be acknowledged. One of the most significant challenges is the lack of reliable internet and mobile connectivity in many urban and remote areas, which directly impacts the feasibility of digital health interventions, telepsychiatry, and AI-driven diagnostic tools. According to global statistics, while mobile phone penetration has increased in recent years, internet access remains limited in many low-income regions. The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) reports that nearly 2.6 billion people globally remain offline, with the majority residing in rural and underserved areas. In Sub-Saharan Africa, for example, only about 40% of the population has access to mobile internet, with even lower rates in rural regions^[16]. Similarly, the World Bank^[17] highlights that while mobile phones are widely available in many low-income communities, the cost of data and lack of digital literacy pose additional barriers to internet-based mental health interventions.

For communities without reliable internet or personal mobile devices, the implementation of AI-assisted screening tools, digital mental health platforms, and telepsychiatry services may be significantly constrained. Without widespread access to these technologies, the proposed model would need to rely on more traditional, paper-based methods for psychiatric assessment and treatment

tracking. While the pen-and-paper measurement instrument developed by Chai and Cawthorpe^[18] addresses this gap by providing an offline alternative, the lack of electronic data collection may limit the ability to conduct large-scale analytics and predictive modeling for resource allocation without dedicated data entry at some point of the natural documentation and workflow. Additionally, the absence of telepsychiatry services may hinder access to specialized psychiatric care, particularly in geographically isolated areas where mental health professionals are scarce.

Another limitation is the cultural and systemic resistance to mental health services in certain communities. Stigma surrounding mental illness remains prevalent in many low-resource settings, leading to reluctance in seeking psychiatric care, even when services are available. Without targeted community engagement and psychoeducation initiatives, the uptake of new mental health programs may be slow, particularly in regions where traditional healing practices dominate. Integrating community health workers (CHWs) and leveraging traditional medicine practitioners in mental health service delivery could help mitigate this challenge *via* available evidence to determine the most effective strategies for cultural adaptation.

Financial sustainability is another potential constraint. While the tax reform model proposed in this paper aims to redirect local tax revenues toward mental health infrastructure and workforce development, implementation depends on government willingness and capacity to adopt such policies. In many low-income countries, tax revenues are already strained, and prioritizing mental health funding over other pressing needs such as infectious disease control or basic healthcare services may be politically challenging. Public-private partnerships (PPPs) and social impact investments may help bridge funding gaps, but securing long-term financial commitments for mental health services remains a significant challenge.

The success of this model depends on the availability and training of local healthcare workers. Task-shifting strategies that train CHWs, teachers, and general practitioners in psychiatric screening and basic intervention techniques require sustained investment in training programs and ongoing supervision. Without continuous professional development and quality assurance mechanisms, the effectiveness of community-based mental health interventions may be compromised.

To address these limitations, future research should focus on improving digital inclusion strategies, evaluating cost-effective offline alternatives to digital psychiatry, and developing culturally sensitive engagement models to increase mental health literacy. Additionally, economic feasibility studies should further explore innovative financing mechanisms, such as social bonds and results-based

financing, to ensure the sustainability of mental health services in low-resource settings. Despite these challenges, the proposed psychiatric assessment and treatment model presents a viable and scalable approach to improving mental health outcomes in underserved populations, provided that these limitations are carefully addressed through context-specific adaptations.

Finally, Moyo^[19] provides a critical perspective on the limitations of foreign aid, which has direct implications for the tax-reform-based psychiatric care model proposed in this discussion. Moyo argues that aid dependency weakens economic self-sufficiency, fosters corruption, and discourages private sector growth—parallels that can be drawn to the risks associated with funding mental health initiatives in some low-resource settings solely through external donor assistance. The sustainability of mental health interventions, particularly in remote communities with poor digital infrastructure, requires a shift toward locally driven, investment-based financing models rather than reliance on foreign aid or short-term grants. Just as Moyo advocates for foreign direct investment (FDI), capital market solutions, and trade-based economic growth, a self-sustaining mental health system must leverage local tax revenue, public-private partnerships (PPPs), and economic incentives to drive long-term psychiatric care integration. However, as Moyo^[19] highlights, poorly designed financial interventions can lead to inefficiencies, bureaucratic corruption, and an over-reliance on external support rather than empowering communities to build endogenous, resilient healthcare models. The implementation of tax reform to support psychiatric assessment and treatment must therefore be strategically designed to avoid the pitfalls of traditional aid dependency, ensuring that fiscal policies incentivize investment in mental health infrastructure, workforce training, and technology adoption without undermining local economic growth. Furthermore, the challenges highlighted in Moyo's work, such as government mismanagement and the displacement of local enterprises, emphasize the need for transparent governance and accountability mechanisms in any tax-reform initiative. While Moyo^[19] presents a compelling case for reducing reliance on external assistance, its core lesson is that sustainable economic development—and by extension, sustainable healthcare financing—requires an environment conducive to market-based equilibrium and institutional stability with policy-driven incentives, rather than perpetual dependency on foreign or distal financial intervention.

8. Conclusion

Within specified limits, this study presents an innovative, scalable, and tax-incentivized model for delivering psychiatric care in low-resource settings, addressing the critical need for accessible, cost-

effective, and sustainable mental health solutions. By integrating community-based interventions, AI-assisted diagnostics, digital psychiatry, and tax-based funding models, this approach ensures long-term mental health service sustainability while maximizing economic returns and social resilience.

The tax reform model serves as a foundational economic framework that enables governments to redirect tax revenues toward sustainable mental health infrastructure. The model's four-phase implementation strategy, spanning ten years, ensures gradual scalability and adaptation to community needs. By embedding psychiatric services within primary healthcare systems, leveraging technology to optimize mental health interventions, and promoting workforce capacity building through task-shifting, this model has the potential to transform psychiatric care delivery in underserved populations worldwide.

The findings indicate that a \$35 million investment in mental health infrastructure, workforce training, and AI-based psychiatry yields a projected \$265 million return, reducing long-term healthcare costs, increasing workforce productivity, and preventing psychiatric crises. This demonstrates that investing in mental health is not just a moral imperative but also an economic strategy that ensures inclusive community development and long-term fiscal sustainability.

While barriers such as stigma, digital literacy, and policy adoption remain challenges, they can be addressed through public-private partnerships (PPPs), mental health awareness campaigns, and government-backed infrastructure investments. Future research should explore region-specific adaptations of this model, measuring longitudinal health and economic outcomes to refine scalability strategies further. Ultimately, this approach lays the foundation for a new era of equitable, technology-driven, and community-supported psychiatric care, ensuring mental well-being for future generations.

Appendix: Measurement-Designed Assessment and Clinical Outcomes of Complex Multimorbidity in Low-Resource Urban and Remote Settings Using a Simple Evidence-Based Instrument

Addressing complex multimorbidity in low-resource urban and remote settings requires a systematic, standardized, and scalable approach to assessment and outcome measurement. One of the greatest challenges in such environments is the lack of reliable clinical tracking of clinical outcomes that can

be applied across diverse healthcare settings, ensuring continuity of care, cost-effectiveness, and patient-centered evaluation. The evidence-based instrument developed by Chai and Cawthorpe^[18] presents a simple yet effective “pen and paper” method that can be used in multiple settings to assess and track treatment progress over the course of patient care. This instrument is designed to be universally applicable, requiring minimal resources while still capturing critical biomedical, psychiatric, and social determinants of health. It allows clinicians to evaluate standard treatments, new interventions, and patient responses while integrating life events, comorbidities, and personal strengths into a holistic assessment framework.

The nature of complex multimorbidity, which involves the co-occurrence of multiple psychiatric, neurodevelopmental, and biomedical conditions, demands continuous monitoring to ensure that interventions are both effective and adaptable to patients’ evolving health needs. In low-resource urban and remote communities, the absence of structured clinical monitoring leads to underdiagnosis, treatment discontinuity, and poorer health outcomes. Without systematic data collection, it becomes difficult to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions, making it challenging to develop evidence-based policies and funding models for sustainable healthcare. A measurement-designed approach ensures that clinicians, researchers, and policymakers can quantify treatment progress, intervention success, and patient resilience across diverse healthcare settings. This is particularly crucial in resource-constrained environments where maximizing the impact of limited healthcare investments is imperative.

The pen-and-paper measurement model proposed by Chai and Cawthorpe^[18] follows a structured visit-based assessment system that captures symptom improvement, treatment effectiveness, life events, comorbidities, and personal strengths. The model consists of a baseline assessment that includes initial documentation of presenting complaints, symptoms, strengths, and life events. It identifies primary and secondary health concerns, including psychiatric and biomedical disorders, and categorizes comorbidities to monitor the interaction of multiple conditions over time. Each clinical visit is documented using a standardized format, where symptoms, strengths, and life events are tracked over multiple encounters. Changes in symptom severity are marked as improved (+1), unchanged (0), or worsened (-1), allowing for clear visualization of patient progress. Additionally, strengths such as family support, resilience, and personal development are tracked and incorporated into treatment planning.

Longitudinal data representation is an essential feature of this model, allowing patient progress to be visually represented using graphs and summary scores. This enables clinicians to identify patterns in treatment response, refine clinical protocols, and optimize care strategies. Data pooling across patients facilitates comparative analysis and ensures that treatment interventions remain relevant to the patient's evolving health profile. Furthermore, this instrument is designed for integration with community health models, allowing its use in primary care settings, community health centers, and mobile clinics. It enables task-shifting by training community health workers (CHWs), nurses, and general practitioners to collect and analyze data, reducing reliance on specialists. The instrument also supports collaborative care models, enhancing communication between practitioners.

Implementing this measurement-based assessment system in low-resource urban and remote settings offers several advantages. It improves diagnosis and patient stratification by enabling early identification of at-risk patients, ensuring timely interventions, and reducing the risk of misdiagnosis and overmedication, particularly in complex multimorbidity cases. It provides an integrated assessment of both psychiatric and biomedical conditions, allowing for holistic treatment planning. Clinicians can adjust treatment plans in real-time based on objective patient data, facilitating continuous quality improvement (CQI) and ensuring that evidence-based treatments remain effective. The model supports shared decision-making, empowering patients to actively participate in their care plans.

This approach is scalable and low-cost, as the pen-and-paper format makes it universally accessible, requiring no expensive digital infrastructure. The training requirements are minimal, making it easy to incorporate into community-based health programs, and data pooling across multiple treatment centers enables large-scale public health analysis. The instrument also enhances cost-efficiency by enabling governments to allocate resources based on real-world clinical data, maximizing healthcare spending efficiency. It reduces hospital admissions and emergency care dependency by ensuring that chronic conditions are managed proactively. Furthermore, it strengthens the case for tax reform models that fund mental health and primary care services, demonstrating measurable return on investment (ROI).

This measurement-designed assessment system aligns with the tax reform model, ensuring that community-driven healthcare solutions remain financially sustainable. By integrating clinical outcome tracking with tax-based funding incentives, governments can reallocate tax revenues to support community-based mental health and biomedical services. It provides tax incentives for

private sector investment in healthcare infrastructure, AI diagnostics, and community health initiatives while ensuring that mental health and complex multimorbidity programs receive long-term financial support, reducing dependency on external aid. By demonstrating real-world treatment efficacy, the data collected through this instrument can inform policy decisions, funding allocations, and national health strategies, ensuring equitable healthcare access across urban and remote populations.

The measurement-based clinical outcome tracking system developed by Chai and Cawthorpe^[18] provides a scalable, cost-effective, and evidence-based solution for assessing and managing complex multimorbidity in low-resource urban and remote settings. By employing a structured visit-based assessment approach, this instrument allows clinicians, community health workers, and policymakers to track treatment outcomes, identify trends, and improve patient care over time. Furthermore, its integration with tax-based funding models ensures long-term financial sustainability, making it a viable model for global health equity and sustainable community development. Future research should focus on expanding the implementation of this instrument, integrating it with AI-powered analytics, and adapting it for region-specific healthcare needs, ensuring that mental health and complex multimorbidity management remain at the forefront of global health strategies.

Acknowledgements

My acknowledgements go to the leadership of the World Psychiatric Association's Multi-Morbidity and Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Sections for their inspiring direction in developing this paper along with cross-section collaboration.

- <https://www.wpanet.org/comorbidity>
- <https://www.wpanet.org/child-adolescent-psychiatry>

Footnotes

¹ <https://rootsofempathy.org>

² https://youtu.be/eYjN3akI_vI

References

1. ^{a, b, c, d}Patel V, Saxena S, Lund C, Thornicroft G, Baingana F, Bolton P, Chisholm D, Collins PY, Cooper J L, Eaton J, Herrman H, Herzallah MM, Huang Y, Jordans MJD, Kleinman A, Medina-Mora ME, Morgan E, Niaz U, Omigbodun O, Prince M, Rahman A, Saraceno B, Sarkar BK, De Silva M, Singh I, Stein DJ, Sun kel C, Unützer J. (2018). The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. *Lancet*. 2018 Oct 27;392(10157):1553-1598. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31612-X. PMID 30314863.
2. ^{a, b}Kielling C, Baker-Henningham H, Belfer M, Conti G, Ertem I, Omigbodun O, Rohde LA, Srinath S, Ulk uer N, Rahman A. (2011). Child and adolescent mental health worldwide: evidence for action. *Lancet*. 20 11 Oct 22;378(9801):1515-25. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60827-1. PMID 22008427.
3. ^ΔChartier G, Cawthorpe D. (2016). Distinction between Episodic Mood Disorder and Attention Deficit Dis order with Hyperactivity based on their Association with the Main Classes of International Classificatio n of Disease in a Child and Adolescent Population. *Ann Depress Anxiety*. 3(1): 1073.
4. ^ΔDuda M, Ma R, Haber N, Wall DP. (2016). Use of machine learning for behavioral distinction of autism and ADHD. *Transl. Psychiatry*. 2016 Feb 9;6(2):e732. doi:10.1038/tp.2015.221. PMID 26859815; PMCID P MC4872425.
5. ^{a, b}Hartstein GL, Peck P, Yellowlees P, Torous J. (2024). Psychotherapy in the Digital Era: A Case for Hyb rid Care and Remote Therapeutic Monitoring. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 2024 Mar-Apr 01;32(2):63-69. doi: 10.1097/HRP.0000000000000393. PMID 38452286.
6. ^{a, b}Kumar, R., Fossion, R., Becker, K., & Kühnlein, P. (2019). Heart rate variability biofeedback: A techno logy-assisted approach to managing stress and mental health disorders. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 10, 102 0.
7. ^{a, b, c, d, e}Jiang L, Wu Z, Xu X, Zhan Y, Jin X, Wang L, Qiu Y. (2021). Opportunities and challenges of artiifi cial intelligence in the medical field: current application, emerging problems, and problem-solving stra tegies. *J Int Med Res*. 2021 Mar;49(3):3000605211000157. doi:10.1177/03000605211000157. PMID 33771 068; PMCID PMC8165857.
8. ^ΔCuijpers P, Karyotaki E, Weitz E, Andersson G, Hollon SD, van Straten A. (2014). The effects of psychoth erapies for major depression in adults on remission, recovery and improvement: a meta-analysis. *J Affe ct Disord*. 2014 Apr;159:118-26. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2014.02.026. PMID 24679399.
9. ^ΔBaumel A, Pawar A, Kane JM, Correll CU. Digital Parent Training for Children with Disruptive Behavior s: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol*. 2016

- Oct;26(8):740–749. doi:10.1089/cap.2016.0048. PMID 27286325.
10. ^{a, b}World Health Organization. (2021). *Mental Health Action Plan 2020–2030*. WHO. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240031029>
 11. ^ΔCawthorpe D. (2021). *Virtual Reality In Health Care — A Call To Action In The Time of Covid-19: Monograph*. Seattle: Amazon. ISBN-13:979–8454818180 <https://www.amazon.com/dp/Bo9CGFVK32>.
 12. ^{a, b}Cawthorpe D. (2023). *AI-Driven Learning in Medicine – Revolutionizing Objective Skills Clinical Examination (OSCE) Preparation through the Lens of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD)*. Seattle: Amazon. <https://www.amazon.de/dp/BoC9S3JGY4>
 13. ^{a, b}Cawthorpe D. (2024). *Protecting the Vulnerable in Human Services: Is VRAI a Solution for Mental Health Improvement, Stigma, and Patient Exploitation? A VRAI Development Manual with Case Review*. Amazon. Seattle. ISBN 979–8877249394 <https://www.amazon.com/dp/BoCV18LW7Y>
 14. ^ΔHambrick EP, Seedat S, Perry BD. (2021). How the Timing, Nature, and Duration of Relationally Positive Experiences Influence Outcomes in Children With Adverse Childhood Experiences. *Front Behav Neurosci*. 2021 Sep 6;15:755959. doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2021.755959. PMID 34552475; PMCID PMC8450360.
 15. ^ΔUnited Nations. (2023). *Sustainable Development Goals Report 2023*. New York: UN
 16. ^ΔInternational Telecommunication Union (ITU). (2023). *Measuring Digital Development: Facts and Figures 2023*. Geneva: ITU. Retrieved from <https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/facts/default.aspx>
 17. ^ΔWorld Bank. (2022). *The Digital Divide: Why It Still Exists and How We Can Close It*. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2022/02/16/the-digital-divide>
 18. ^{a, b, c, d}Chai, M., & Cawthorpe, D. (2024). *Clinical Outcome Measurement in Western and Traditional Chinese Medicine*. Seattle. Amazon. <https://www.amazon.com/dp/BoDPSQZMGM>
 19. ^{a, b, c}Moyo, D. (2009). *Dead aid: Why aid is not working and how there is a better way for Africa*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.