

Review of: "How Do Academicians Publish More Research Papers for Their Promotion and Positions? A Scrutiny of CV"

Ali Akbar Khasseh¹

¹ Payame Noor University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This critical essay deals with the promotion of academics in India. Scientific study on such a subject is very important. However, this article seems to suffer from the following problems:

- The title of the article is a bit misleading. Based on the title, it seems that the author has studied academicians' CVs based on a scientific method; however, by reading the text, such a thing cannot be inferred. It is also necessary to mention in the title that the focus is on India. Furthermore, the abstract is not complete and needs more detailed information. In general, neither from the title nor from the abstract is it clear that the context of this article is specific to India.
- No specific research method is used in this article. The author's method is ambiguous. It is not clear how many CVs were checked and on what basis. Who was included in this study? Based on what criteria?
- A significant part of the sentences in this article are the author's personal opinions. In other words, this review article is based on the author's personal opinions, without giving sufficient and valid references to other resources. For example, it is said in a part of the article that:

"Research papers published with academicians listed as second or in any other order should not be considered as their own publications."

Such a procedure is very irrational and unprincipled. Today, many publications are the result of teamwork and a large number of articles in various fields are multi-authored and mega-authored.

- Generally, the right way is to conduct such a study, for example, in a qualitative approach using grounded theory and to conduct interviews with experts and experts in this field.

Regards,