

Review of: "Who Is Responsible for Preventing Children's Sexual Harassment?"

Verónica Riquelme Soto¹

¹ Universidad de Valencia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article addresses a topic of great interest in the field of child protection within the scientific community and professional action (social, legal, educational services, etc.). However, some aspects have been detected that would require modification to improve its quality and rigor:

INTRODUCTION:

- It is redundant, since on several occasions it is repeated that sexual harassment is one of the most difficult crimes to control, originating to a greater extent within homes.
- Aspects of interest regarding sexual abuse are not detailed, such as the physical, social, psychological and educational consequences that violence generates in the boys and girls who receive it. This topic has been widely addressed in the scientific literature, so updated data can be offered from research that has worked on the same topic.
- The information provided in the introduction is disordered and that makes the speech lose coherence. For example: if you begin by contextualizing the sexual harassment figures in the country, it is logical to talk about the legislation below and not at the end of the introduction. On the other hand, in the text the information is disordered.

METHOD:

- A section dedicated to presenting the research methodology followed in the study has not been created. At no time does a subsection appear regarding the detailed description of the sample, nor does it appear about the design of the study or the procedure followed for the treatment of the data collected and its subsequent analysis.
- It is said that it is a qualitative study, but at no time are the procedures followed in the analysis, the techniques used, the categories selected after the analysis of the interviews, etc. detailed.

RESULTS:

- The results are poorly presented, since they are confused with the discussion of the results. In this section, only the main results obtained after the analysis of the interviews and the documentation collected should appear. On the other hand, reference is made to studies and research contributions that are not appropriate to address in this part of the work.
- The results obtained are not clear, since only some comments extracted from the interviews are referenced, but the categories analyzed are not indicated nor is the information from the different participants compared.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS:

- The conclusions are brief and not very detailed.