

Review of: "The antithetical relationship of Entrepreneurship and Corruption on Radicalization among the Moroccan Youths: An Empirical study"

Paula Rosalinda Antonio Vidaña

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The research caught my attention regarding the subject, I believe that it should be strengthened in the first section that is presented, it does not support the general objective, there are citations of the data that it shows from your research. In the case of using acronyms, putting their meaning at the beginning and afterwards is not necessary. Consider synonyms so as not to duplicate words (Investigation investigates) (question, was asked). For clarity with the entire document, consider the year in which it was carried out, profiles of its sample, and methods used.

Although it argues some methodological elements, it does not indicate the type of research used. In the document it is not clear what he means by 21. "the joint movement between the entrepreneurial spirit and its efficiencies towards economic and social progress in 21"

However, in later paragraphs it considers (21st century society), so it is suggested that you write it at the beginning and after that it will be understood what it alludes to.

It remains to consider the year in the recovery of "Baumol follows a similar logic..." in the same way with Klapper.

Table 5 was not clear, in addition to the fact that in the last figures they are not separated from the averages (1196, 225, 1421). Review the data shown in the table and its analysis.

Use et al, because although he used it, there was no citation for its application in concussions. Review the list of your references since according to APA 7th. Edition should no longer be considered: Retrieved from... Retrieved from...