

Review of: "Richir's Phenomenological and Symbolical as a Model for the Relationship between Phenomenology and Theology"

Mauro Sérgio de Carvalho Tomaz

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors wants to link two intially contrary forces: the phenomenology (the realm of the unknow, that only manifests in our point of view) and theology (the realm of the only certain thing that exists could be exist, the entire and complete Other). To do this, he will use the way of thinking of Richir.

The main problem - and the offered solution - is that when you follow the path "phenomenology to theology" it starts to exist a mode of "reducionism", because one it is engulfed by the other. Nonetheless, if the case is inverted, if we go from "theology to phenomenology" we can see that the phenomena becomes a symbolic manifestation of the great Other, to speak as Martin Buber, that is God.

The article is very well costructed and follows logically untill the end. I think that is very praisable when a instructed man use the science to defend his values. I'll like to sugest Viktor Frankl ("The Eclipse of God"), Martin Buber and some argumentation of Jordan Peterson's Maps of Meaning.