

Review of: "The Role of Green Legacy in Promoting Sustainable Development and Combating Climate Change"

Yan Yunxian¹

¹ Jilin Agricultural University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The abstract is too long. Usually it includes the motivation, aims, methodology, results and conclusion. Five sentences is OK. The context and background of the paper don't appear in the abstract.
2. The introduction part is too general. This paper is on climate change in Ethiopia. Change it to another country, the introduction is also OK.
3. Most of the literature review materials come from the international organization, which are the common things of climate change and sustainable development. It can demonstrate the special characteristics of Ethiopia. In terms of writing style, we can unite different sources of the topic according to contents, time or something else, not write one source of the material in one paragraph. At the end of this part, we usually show the readers the research gap. In this paper, the readers are not clear with it.
4. The methodology in a table is awkward. The data is first hand. The methodology can be in paragraphs. The econometric part, the constant and coefficients do not need to be explained. The measures of the CC, PT and GT needs to be demonstrated in this part. The denotation of Y , y^* needs to be explained.
5. In results part, the analysis is not comprehensive and deep. Of course, this part is related with the methodology part. There is no discussion in this part, which mismatches the subtitle.
6. Conclusion, recommendation and future research can be united in one part.
7. There is little journal papers in references. If possible please read more related journal papers.
8. There are some grammatical and formatting mistakes, please read the paper from the scratch and rectify them.