

Review of: "Biliary Complications Following Liver Transplantation: The First Single-Center Tunisian Experience"

Lubna Fawaz¹

¹ Cairo University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Strengths

Clear objective

The work is intensive with a very long follow-up period.

Weaknesses and areas of improvement

Please mention the ethical approval.

It would be interesting to know the parameters that were tested, even those that were not significant.

For example, was obesity tested as a factor? Since the average BMI was 24, there must have been some obese patients among them.

What type of donors were the living donors, and was there a difference in the results in different types?

It would be interesting to have the negative results as well.

In the abstract, the term "superior" is confusing. It implies a better result, while the value is higher (38% vs. 28%). It may be better to use the term "higher."

In the introduction, it's better to mention the health and risk of death of the patient before the burden on the health care system.

Some references are missing, especially in the introduction.

The statistical analysis should be illustrated by graphs. Since there is overlap in many of the complications, graphs would help clarify that matter.

Some abbreviations are used suddenly without previous mention of the full wording.

Conclusion

After the minor changes, the data from this long-term follow-up must be shared. I recommend its publication.