

# Review of: "Can ChatGPT code the technical part of a Bachelor's Thesis in Informatics?"

Basab Nath<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sikkim Manipal University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

## Strengths:

**Clear Objective:** The study's objective is well-defined and addresses a relevant and interesting research question.

**Methodological Approach:** The "critical dialog" approach with ChatGPT is innovative and provides valuable insights into the limitations and capabilities of LLMs in academic coding tasks.

**Practical Implications:** The study's findings have practical implications for students, educators, and researchers, highlighting the potential benefits of using LLMs like ChatGPT in academic projects.

**Discussion of Limitations:** The paper discusses the limitations of the study, including ChatGPT's outdated knowledge base and the need for human oversight in directing ChatGPT's analytical endeavors.

## Weaknesses:

**Limited Scope:** The study's focus on a specific domain (Information Systems) and a specific tool (ChatGPT) limits the generalizability of the findings to other domains and tools.

**Ethical Considerations:** The paper briefly touches on ethical considerations but could benefit from a more in-depth discussion of the ethical implications of using LLMs in academic work.