

Review of: "Support for Campus Censorship"

Peter Wood

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper represents rigorous testing of a well-formed hypothesis. The pre-registration of the protocol and the careful noting of exceptions (e.g., the larger than expected sample size) are exemplary. This reviewer detected no errors in the analysis of the data or the manipulations. The paper gives strong empirical support to the view that those who profess liberal ideology are somewhat more inclined to censor views that counter their ideology than those who profess conservative views are to censor views that run counter to their ideology. The authors appropriately avoid drawing too general conclusions from the study,

Qeios ID: ANFHT0 · https://doi.org/10.32388/ANFHT0