

Review of: "The Key to Academic Equity: A Detailed Review of EdChat's Strategies"

Nashwa Ismail¹

¹ University of Liverpool

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript represents a significant endeavour in reviewing the existing literature on the distribution of academic content. However, there are areas of improvement;

Abstract: it needs to provide readers with a concise overview of the study's objectives, methods, results, and conclusions, emphasizing clarity, informativeness, and structure.

Study Objective: The primary objective of this manuscript is to evaluate EdChat's potential as a transformative force in academic publishing. To enhance clarity, it is recommended to explicitly state this objective at the beginning of the manuscript.

Research Question(s): Clearly state the research question(s) and outline how the study's objectives align with addressing these questions. This will provide readers with a roadmap for understanding the purpose and direction of the research.

Literature Review: The literature review section should be organized coherently and thoroughly informed by existing literature. Select topics that directly inform the study narrative, such as EdChat, and provide a comprehensive overview of relevant literature, research findings, and discussions. Shift the focus from descriptive to explanatory, substantiating claims with references. For example, introduce sections like "What is the Educational Chat Network (EdChat)'s Solution?" and "Open Reviews," and support statements with references for credibility.

The link between EdChat and academic equity, as mentioned in the title, needs clarification. Explicitly address how EdChat contributes to or should be praised for promoting academic diversity, equity, and inclusion.

Methodology: The methodology is based on three elements: (1) Literature review, (2) Platform analysis, and (3) AI consultation using ChatGPT. However, the last two methods lack explanation on how they were conducted. Provide detailed explanations of the processes involved in platform analysis and AI consultation to enhance transparency and replicability. Consider incorporating elements of a systematic review, ensuring validity and reliability in the research design.

Recommendation: It is suggested that the study be revamped by incorporating systematic review elements, considering the rigor of systematic review (SR) methodology. Emphasize the validity and reliability of the study findings to contribute more robustly to knowledge in the field.

In summary, revising the manuscript based on these recommendations will enhance the overall clarity, coherence, and rigor of the study, providing a more valuable contribution to the academic literature.