

Review of: "The Consequences of Political and Economic Choices: Exploring Disaster Vulnerability with the Structure, Resource, and Behaviour Change model (SRAB)"

Xianrui Yu¹

¹ Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, I think this research topic is interesting. The root causes leading the advantage and disadvantage matters from the policy to natural events should be concerned. However, there are many areas where the article could be improved.

1. The section 1 briefly cites some existing research literature, which is not enough. The author should analyze these literature and identify any gaps between the existing literature and your research.
2. Authors discuss the research models in section 2, but you present "The sections that follow first introduce the research methodology" in the last paragraph in the section 1. The proposed model is your research methodology, isn't it? If so, why not put it into section 3 "Methodology". And in the section 3, the process of your methodology is not clear.
3. What confuses me the most is what you want to express in the section 2 "The Root Cause of Disaster Vulnerability". If you want to introduce your model, simply explaining someone else's model is not reasonable. You must explain the following questions: why is this model proposed and what are the shortcomings of others' models.
4. The section "Current Farming System and Vulnerability to Natural Risks" and the following sections showcase a lot of content that should be the background or status of the study area. 5. The author uses a large amount of text to describe Structural Changes and Changes in Resource Management. A clearer expression should be presented in the form of tables or images.
6. The discussion section seems to be disconnected from the above content. The main reason for this is that the content in the previous sections is too redundant, making it difficult for readers to grasp the key content that you want to highlight.
7. The author only elaborated on the negative impact of policies on farmers in the discussion section, but everything has two sides. Obviously, these policies formulated by the government have a positive impact, but the author seems to have overlooked these aspects.
8. The conclusion section does not provide any shortcomings and future prospects of the article.