

Review of: "The Political Ecologies of the Tonle Sap: Global, Regional and National Framework for Conservation and Development"

Altanbold Enkhbold¹

¹ Mongolian National University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Title: **The Political Ecologies of the Tonle Sap: Global, Regional and National Framework for Conservation and Development**

Revisions are needed for this manuscript to be published in Qeios.

Abstract

1. There is need for improvement and revision of this research abstract.
2. The study's justification is overly extensive in the abstract. It is important to clearly outline and document research objectives.
3. "This paper analyzes the political ecology of the TSL's demise" was done in this study. However, no mathematical analysis's numerical value is presented.
4. At the end of the abstract, the importance of this study and future directions should be mentioned.

Introduction

1. It is recommended to incorporate the first set of paragraphs from the introduction area section in the study area.
2. How can we ensure the 'acceptable' dry and wet season flow under the scenarios of hydropower dam development?
Would you kindly use a more scientific correction to this inappropriate sentence?
3. "Conceptual Framework of Political Ecology" this chapter should be moved to the discussion section.

Materials and Methods

1. The material and method section are written too poorly. Write abbreviated.
2. Are mathematical formulas used in the methodology section? Too vague should be improved.

Results and Discussion

10. There's a pretty decent calculation in the results section. However, could you please include the majority of the numerical results of this calculation in the section that concludes and abstract?

Conclusions

11. The conclusion needs to be corrected because it is overly broad and unclear. Specifically, At the beginning of the conclusion, what are the main contributions of the researchers to environmental science? Write down your Interdiction and Important result. You should include this study shortage part end of in the conclusions.

The paper presents original results and can be published in Qeios after major revisions.