

Review of: "On the existence of precession of planets' orbits in Newtonian gravity"

Tarek Amer¹

1 Tanta University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Report on Manuscript (On the existence of precession of planets orbits in Newtonian gravity)

This proposed approach in this work permits to gain a value of the same order of magnitude of the Newtonian precession, but larger than the preceding one in Ref. [14] and again without consistency with the observational values. Although the manuscript is well written and good organized, the following comments must be taken into consideration.

- 1. Author should clear statements of the novelty of the work, should also appear briefly in the Abstract and Conclusions sections.
- 2. Keywords must be written.
- 3. I recommend improving general level of English.
- 4. In the introduction, the author does not provide a strong motivation for the paper and the obtained results. In addition, they should discuss the main contributions of their work in detail after the motivation part. Then they should summarize the main structure of his paper in brief at the end of the introduction.
- 5. The author should improve the introduction by including the following works, the following works should be taken into consideration
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-007-9327-x
- https://doi.org/10.1177/14613484231159571
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-017-3537-7
- https://doi.org/10.1177/1081286517716734
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2020.103160
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2020.08.008
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2021.03.063
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10509-021-03981-z
- 6. The authors should compare the achieved results with the previous ones.
- 7. Have the authors employed any assumptions on model? Please explain briefly.



8. Conclusion must be extended in a better form to include all the obtained results.

According to the above mention points, I recommend publishing after doing above MAJOR REVISIONS.