

Review of: "Politics of Representation in Rural Tourism Micro-Entrepreneurship"

Nimi Markose

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

As a reviewer in the tourism field, I would like to contribute my views to the study:

The author has done great work and conducted an in-depth study using up-to-date methods. The work was done precisely and neatly. The study also contributes to the present literature. The analysis and results are clearly presented.

I will recommend the paper for acceptance if the author makes the below-mentioned corrections:

- 1). As a non-native speaker of English, I feel that the language is too complex and that readers feel tired of reading the entire article.
- 2). The abstract and the final conclusion don't have any correlation; they need to rewrite the abstract.
- 3). The introduction was too long and confusing and does not explain the actual context of the study. There should be continuity from the beginning to the end.
- 4). The results section was very clear, but it is not explained properly in the discussion section. In the conclusion part, the author needs to explain the clear purpose of the study.
- 5). The author has to rewrite the article in a rather simple language.
- 6). The author should explain more about the connection between rural tourism and micro-entrepreneurship, citing recent articles from different countries.