

Review of: "How Do Academicians Publish More Research Papers for Their Promotion and Positions? A Scrutiny of CV"

Peidu Peidu

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article bears some similar issues of the opinion/commentary article [Publish or perish: time for a rethink?](#) published on this platform in the recent month. The article highlights some challenging issues in academic practices in India with regard to assessment but it is not critical enough. It has been dealt superficially.

The article title does not bear the content of the article. In fact, the content of the article are not more than describing the types of authorship - ghost authorship, guest authorship or honorary authorship with different heading.

While it is true that there are dereliction or malpractice of authorship attribution, some reforms suggested such as "research papers published with academicians listed as second or in any other order should not be considered as their own publications" are unfounded. The suggestion academician "should seek approval from the head of the institution, such as the principal for colleges and registrar for universities, by submitting an abstract before submission" is a great impediment to academic freedom. This can further lead to autocracy in academic space.