Review of: "The HERMESS model for addictive behaviors recovery"

Stephen Wiland[1]

1 University of Michigan - Ann Arbor

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall copy editing would be indicated, for smoother and more professional language.

"it´s also necessary to create specific actions for several collectives, as develop evaluation systems to validate efficiency and adequacy of recovery-oriented programs." This second-from-last sentence in the Abstract section was worded a bit awkwardly, especially the "as develop" language – was that intended to refer to programs previously mentioned? If so, perhaps "as they develop" would make the meaning clearer.

In Section 1, the final sentence is incomplete ("Moreover, it also does not mean a process of 'natural recovery' by which the addicted individuals …") and needs to be completed in order to have meaning.

In Section 2, subpart 1), it isn't clear what the intention is for using "Scientific" as a descriptor before "assessment of strengths and weaknesses" – this would be improved if the term were either replaced, or more specifically defined. In subpart 2) the final word "strengths' " has an unnecessary apostrophe on it. Further down in Section 2, the first bullet point should have the word "skills" that follows the colon in upper-case, in order to be consistent with the construct of the other 2 bullet points.

Throughout Section 3, the elements comprising the HERMESS acronym should either be routinely hyphenated or not, in each instance of their use, rather than sometimes one and sometimes the other.

Re: quality of content, the concepts captured by the HERMESS acronym are not new to the addiction-treatment field. The treatment given to each by the author is cursory at best, and the article could benefit from a more detailed explanation of each, as well as some development of how each concept could be included as an impactful part of cutting-edge, effective treatment delivery.