

Review of: "AI in Court: Facing Today's Legal Challenges"

Jamal Barafi¹

¹ University of Sharjah

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic addressed by the paper is important and timely, especially in light of the tremendous development of artificial intelligence systems and methods of using them. The researchers have succeeded in presenting the most important current problems faced by existing legislation and its weak points when confronting artificial intelligence issues.

I will present some observations that can enrich the research and make it a new addition to what is written in this regard:

1. The researchers referred to important court rulings, but did not provide their details and sources for example:

A landmark court ruling stated that AI systems cannot be credited as authors of copyrighted works,

and: for instance, a major court ruling on facial recognition technology has raised awareness about privacy issues in AI-powered surveillance systems.

and, Other notable trials, such as legal disputes surrounding intellectual property rights in AI-generated works, have provided important lessons for the responsible deployment of AI technology.

2. When referring to how companies deal with artificial intelligence issues (How Companies Tackle AI-Related Legal Hurdles), it was preferable to support the topic with a realistic perspective - practical cases - so that the research does not remain only theoretical without an applied aspect.
3. When mentioning international cooperation to develop procedures and standards to address artificial intelligence challenges, it is preferable to mention practical cases of cooperation at the regional or international level.
4. It is better to take ideas mentioned in this paragraph:
Lessons for AI Deployment, and add them to the recommendations mentioned in the conclusion.
5. I believe that rearranging the sections and allocating a section on artificial intelligence court cases at the international level, a section on the regional and European level, and a section on national court cases will improve the paper sequence and make it more interesting for the reader.

I hope that the observations will be useful to researchers and that they will take them into consideration.

