

Review of: "Quantum Theory of Soul Sickness and Soul Healing"

Ana Paula Rodrigues Cavalcanti¹

¹ Universidade Federal da Paraíba

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The central idea of the article is interesting and has relevance in the current state of the art of research on the correlation between spirituality and health.

However, the core of the argument lies in offering a mathematical model to explain the process of illness – and curative therapy – for diseases. Diseases are considered practically all spiritually based on an imbalance in the comparative proportion of positive and negative energy acting on matter.

Positive energies originate from positive information coming from the environment – and their opposites are negative energies. The action of both energies on matter takes place by resonance in the quantum field.

The researcher considers that matter is all observable experience, including the subjective side of existence – because the quantum analysis of physics (according to his opinion) encompasses information, energy, and matter.

Many problems can be seen in the proposal:

1. The mathematical model has not been exposed as demonstrable arithmetic. Positive or negative character is given by an adjective, not by some numerical property of experience.
2. The quantum theory of physics is only mentioned; nowhere is it demonstrated how the assumptions of this physics would apply to illness and therapy.
3. The principles and mechanics are a list of analogies, not arithmetic or physics proofs.
4. The philosophical concept of karma (cited in the article) is much better applied to explaining illness and healing than the proposed quantum theory of soul sickness and soul healing. This theory added nothing to the premises of the concept of karma (which dismisses any mathematical or physics analogies).
5. Mirror therapy has nothing to do with quantum physics or mathematics, just like the placebo effect.
6. The three case studies presented are insufficient to generate robust conclusions because intervening variables (using mathematical concepts) were not considered in addition to the independent variable.
7. The concept of resonance, in physics, is not referenced with studies demonstrating that such a property occurs at abstract and subjective levels – such as finances and relationships, cited in the article.

How is it possible to apply physics concepts in situations that it has not even considered?

This does not seem to be an exposition on applied quantum physics but an analogical proposal of concepts. Other philosophical concepts are available to better serve researchers up to the moment. I hope in the future we have more substance added to this proposal here.