

# Review of: "Assessing the Impact of a Group Intervention on the Mental Well-being of Undergraduate Healthcare Students"

## Sri Nurhayati Selian<sup>1</sup>

1 Universitas Muhammadiyah Aceh

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Title of Paper: Assessing the Impact of a Group Intervention on the Mental Well-being of Undergraduate Healthcare Students.

Reviewed by: Dr. Sri Nurhayati Selian

### **Title & Abstract**

- 1. Do the title and abstract cover the main aspect of the work?
- a. The title should be revisited. Create a title that describes the contents of the article. Keep the title short and concise.
- b. Keywords sort by alphabet
- c. Abstract needs to be revised. Abstract contents: problems, objectives, methods (design, samples, instruments, data analysis), results, and conclusions.

#### Introduction

- 2. Does the introduction provide background and information relevant to the study?
- a. In the last paragraph of the introduction, it is good to close with a conclusion about the research objectives.
- b. Use the latest study literature, at least the last 5 years.

## Formulation of the Problems

3. What do you hope to find in this research? Please add research questions. Research questions can be explained in the methodology section. Research questions must be in sync with the research objectives.

### **Methods**

- 4. Are the methods clear and replicable? Do all the results presented match the methods described?
- a. Please add information regarding the population and sample size used in this research.
- b. Please add information on how researchers distributed the Warwick Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Scale (WEMWBS)?
- c. Please add information about why researchers chose undergraduate dental intern students?



### Results

- 5. The research results must answer the research questions and research objectives. Sort the answers according to the research question.
- 6. There are no research questions and research objectives, so reader does not know what information should be displayed in the research results section.

### **Discussion**

7. Research discussion must discuss research result.

### Conclusion

8. Please add research limitations and research implications to the conclusion.

### References

9. References must be updated with the latest references, no later than the last 5 years for research articles.

### **Recommendations to the Editor**

- 10. Recommendations to Editors:
- a. Major correction, acceptable for publication after researchers make some improvements.

Qeios ID: AW92WL · https://doi.org/10.32388/AW92WL