

Review of: "Why the Standard Definition of Creativity Fails to Capture the Creative Act"

Arabella Bhutto¹

¹ Mehran University of Engineering & Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper very interestingly develop an argument for revising the definition of creativity. The concept related to the internal frame and external frame is well grounded and clarifies the need of revising the definition. However, the justification of using a word "Satisfying" is not very well presented and defended with evidence. Merely a case study is discussed that does not suffice the need of changing the definition of creativity. Addition of couple of more cases may further defend this argument to be true as a research proposition.