

Review of: "Rush Farming of Konjac (Amorphophallus Muelleri) Among Novice Farmers in Ciamis Regency, West Java Province, Indonesia: a Descriptive Study"

Clement Okechukwu Attamah¹

¹ University of Nigeria

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript is original with 12% similarity index (Turnitin), and worth researching on. The authors did a great work and should be commended.

Few areas of concern

1. The concept of rush farming as captured in the title was not treated in the Introduction
2. Under Collection of Data, "a questionnaire" as used should be "an interview schedule"
3. Under Analysis of Data, ...and the konjac phenomenon for well-being **were** also analyzed descriptively...
4. under The Process for Domesticating Konjac, there need to define what is meant by "ngabolang" for novice to understand and appreciate
5. Format Table 3. Proper formatting will have it on a page
6. Under references, this:

B R, A., &

G, B. (2023). Utilizing Blogs on ESL learners' vocabulary learning through social constructivist theory: A descriptive study. *MethodsX*, 10(October 2022), 101970. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2022.101970>

Aravind, B. R. & Bhuvaneswari, G. (2023). Utilizing Blogs on ESL learners' vocabulary learning through social constructivist theory: A descriptive study. *MethodsX*, 10(October 2022), 101970. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2022.101970>

7. Properly check your references and correctly align with the approved style of the journal. There are issues of uppercase letters littered in the references.