

# Review of: "The Key to Academic Equity: A Detailed Review of EdChat's Strategies"

Beatriz Catalina-García<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The evaluated article shows an interesting tool that can revolutionize the scientific field, but also other fields as correctly stated in the text.

However, there are some issues that should be improved:

- The disadvantages pointed out are very limited and in clear imbalance with the advantages that EdChat can bring. This imbalance causes the article to have a commercial character rather than a scientific work. In this regard, I suggest that the disadvantages should be reinforced, bearing in mind that academic exploration requires a very high degree of rigor.
- In methodology, the author states: "Peer-reviewed articles, reports, and white papers were sourced from reputable databases". What were these reputable databases? and How was the selection made? I suggest that this question be expanded and, in addition, the selection criteria should be expanded and argued (temporal and spatial context, and justify the selection of the data bases).

Apart from these recommendations, everything is correct. Congratulations to the authors.