

Review of: "The Strategy of Russia in the Trans-Eurasian Connectivity"

E. S. Ratushnyak¹

1 Moscow State Institute of International Relations MFA Russia (MGIMO-University)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

It was interesting to read the article. It contains concentrated material, main facts, graphics which are important, and some data. But it seems to need to make some emphases in text to improve article structure and help readers to follow easier the author's idea.

There are two main directions and a possible way to realize them in the way to improving the paper.

Directions: sequencing the development of ideas within the text material and the structure of the article as a scientific paper.

Sequencing the development of ideas within the text material could be realized by utilizing of some model. As the topic of the article concerns the theme of strategy, the possible way to analyze strategy is using some of the models of assessing strategy to make it more easily understandable. Perhaps it would be suitable to use the model of the 5-Ps of Strategy suggested by Mintzberg to provide a strategy description in a systematic way.

Another direction is the inclusion of all necessary elements of the scientific paper, among others, are methodology and introduction core components.

Insertion into Introduction such elements as Research Aim, Research Questions, would give the key aspects and succession of addressing them, as a result it would be clear the final point of the paper and the way the author made and how.

It is very important to use the section of methodology to describe main methods, used in the research, in order to describe and throw light on a process and the main stages of getting and analyzing the data to make the result validity more transparent, and ensure the reproducibility of the scientific authoring method.

Qeios ID: B0BEOU · https://doi.org/10.32388/B0BEOU