

Review of: "Adopting the UTAUT model to understand academic use of emerging technologies among Moroccan nursing students"

Mohammed Nasiru Yakubu

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

General observations: The document requires a thorough proof reading as I struggled to understand several sections in the document.

Abstract: This is fine

Background: This is fine

Literature review

This section is weak and lacks the rigours of critical analysis associated with a research paper. It is more descriptive about previous studies (based on the UTAUT framework and eLearning) and does not build a case for the current study. The reference below and similar studies could be used to see if there are any gaps that this study can fill:

Alghazi, S. S., Kamsin, A., Almaiah, M. A., Wong, S. Y., & Shuib, L. (2021). For sustainable application of mobile learning: An extended UTAUT model to examine the effect of technical factors on the usage of mobile devices as a learning tool. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 1856.

Methods

This statement needs to be explained properly.

"The actual use of technology was reflected by number of emerging technologies for academic purposes."

Maybe a sample of the questions that made up the survey should be added within the body of the paper.

No justification of using UTAUT was given considering there are several theoretical models that could have been used.

Results

This section lacks clarity and this could stem from the method adopted in the study. I couldn't follow what was presented and i believe restructuring the section would improve the readability

Discussions, Limitations and Future Research

These sections could have been developed much better in order to present what could be an interesting contribution to knowledge.