

## Review of: "Honorific Conception of Philosophy and Exclusionism in Nigeria"

Grace Akanbi<sup>1</sup>

1 Emmanuel Alayande University of Education Oyo

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is very interesting to read as it explores the historical evolution of the Honorific Conception of Philosophy and Exclusionism. It exposes contradictions in the intentions of those who believe in racial superiority/inferiority.

However, the article needs careful consideration of Nigeria's case study of the 2023 general elections. For instance,
this statement:

In fact, exclusionism was the major in-thing in the just concluded Nigeria's Presidential Election. The Igbos were majorly disenfranchised, in the same manner people in the southern region were disenfranchised. There was also exclusion on the basis of party choice (political exclusion). The citizens who exercised their freedom to vote for Labour Party were excluded from voting and the votes of those who voted were largely either not counted or were given to the other parties (Mbah, Nwangwu & Ugwu, 2019).

may expose a predetermined position on exclusionism in Nigeria, betrayal of emotions and partisanship. This statement may be biased because you cannot use a 2019 conclusion as a reference to the 2023 election; you need 2023 evidence to conclude your statement. Also, the authors need to let the readers know whether only the Igbos were disenfranchised in all the regions of Nigeria. A reasonable reader will be able to read between the lines that the writers belong to an ethnic group.

- The authors may need to make brief conceptual clarifications.
- The article needs comprehensive grammatical editing. Refrain from using don't, doesn't, isn't, etc. in an academic paper.
- Crazy? This is not an academic language; use another word.
- You can use another word instead of repeating these individuals/this individual in your article, please.