

Review of: "Deconstruction of Gender Dynamics and Its Implications for Gendered Inclusive Design: Application of Urban Big Data and Interpretable Machine Learning Method in India"

Kalynda Smith¹

1 North Carolina A&T State University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is meaningful work that is needed across the world. Although I understand that this work in somewhat preliminary, I suggest making the narrative tighter by clearly conveying who the participants were, to the extent that you can, and from where the qualitative data came. How were you able to determine that social media data came from women and girls living in New Delhi, for instance?

I also suggest adding more contemporary literature, if possible, as well as showing the clear connection between the existing literature, the findings and the recommendations/conclusions. The study data from women does not need to be directly compared to data from men, but it is not clear that the study data suggests gendered differences in the impact of certain spaces on fear. Does the study data specifically support previous findings in the literature? Is there data that specifically speaks to types of subspaces that are referred to in the recommendations? I believe that this revisions will help the reader see the clear relationship between gender, fear, and urban spaces.

Qeios ID: B7FPYM · https://doi.org/10.32388/B7FPYM