Review of: "The Algorithmic Decalogue: A Comprehensive Examination of the A.I. Ten Commandments"

Jose Luis Garcia Vigil[1]

1 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The title of the article is attractive, but what it announces cannot be found when reading the complete document, as it promises an exhaustive examination of the ten commandments of AI, but the statements are only briefly commented on without further analysis or theoretical and conceptual depth.

In such a way that the enunciation of the ten commandments of AI remains, just like a script, a list of intentions that would have to be developed in depth in another work; for example, in an essay with narrative, information, or premises supported by harder evidence, and guided within a philosophical approach or discourse such as dialectical or phenomenological materialism.

Having said the above, I will briefly comment on each of the sections of the work:

- Summary: apparently the same as that of scientific works but void of information in form and content.
- Synthetic introduction that, for greater detail, refers to table No. 1 where the ten commandments of AI are listed, but when reviewed, it only contains 6 of them, apparently with their respective references, and for greater detail, when comparing said list of references, at the end of the work, only two are described.
- In materials and methods, there is a paragraph that could well be a continuation of the introduction and that is also supported by table No. 1.
- In the results section, the reinterpretation of the ten commandments based on the algorithmic principles of AI is mentioned, but no further description and in-depth analysis and interpretation of what is described is made.
  In the discussion, it mentions an interdisciplinary analysis that does not appear anywhere in the work, nor does it make a comparison with similar works published in the international literature on the topic under discussion.
- The conclusions and perspectives outlined by the author remain in the air as "*good intentions*" or very deep in his thoughts, but are not described or related to specific details of methodology and results obtained.

Conclusions:

- This work has no form or substance as an essay or of any other type.
- Nor is it an exhaustive review of the subject.
- It is a timely document of good intentions, a script for what could be a decalogue of AI and its possible impact on humanity.