

# Review of: "The Nexus between Energy Policies and Supply: A Descriptive Evaluation of Nigeria and UK Energy Sectors"

Mohammad Haseeb Haseeb

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Include a few empirical findings in the abstract.
2. The introduction should show the reader why your topic is important and the paper worth reading. What questions are you answering? How does your paper contribute to our understanding of this topic? What holes in the literature does it fill? Does it contribute to a debate somehow?
3. Literature review section is all right, however can add some recent literature to make it stronger.
  - <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/14/21/6968>
  - <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11356-020-11576-4>
  - <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11356-021-16960-2>
  - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0160791X21003286>
  - <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/13/4/1613>
  - <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10708-020-10370-6>
4. Please highlight the reason behind the adoption of this econometric technique.
5. It is also important for the authors to highlight their novel contributions and to discuss how the appropriateness of their methodology to achieve the intended objective.
6. Data and method part is too scanty and unorganized. Model specification part must be rewritten according to the model and econometric strategies used in this study. The econometric strategy part must be written in the revised manuscript.
7. An argument for the inclusion of the other control variables should be mentioned briefly and why their selection.
8. The results and discussion of a paper is the main engine of a paper; an ultimate reader would read this section with great interest but the authors fail to write the discussion in proper way with comparing the findings of the previous studies. Special attention should be paid on the section. The discussion how Energy, Energy policies, Energy Supply, Energy Crises and Policy Analysis contributes to achieving the intended objectives in Nigeria and United Kingdom is not sufficient in my point of view. It should be accompanied by the current situation of Nigeria and United Kingdom.
9. Policy part is too narrow to make it publishable. It should be based on the empirical findings and present scenarios of the Nigeria and United Kingdom in a relevant matter and country specific.
10. Limitations of the research and future research pathway should be added

11. A good round of proof reading would be helpful to remove any typing and grammatical errors.