

Review of: "Fear Factors in Open Spaces – Children's Perception of Public Open Spaces"

Duygu Cinar Umdü¹

¹ Yasar University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. I would like to offer my suggestions in terms of grammar. The language was generally used fluently, but in some places the use of uppercase and lowercase letters was not taken into consideration. I recommend that the authors proofread again.
2. Since it is a study conducted with children, I think the university's ethics committee approval and the consent of the families should be mentioned at the end of the article.
3. Since Highlight is used, the abstract does not need to be very long. I think it should be less than 200 words.
4. Safety and security are words with similar meaning. It might be appropriate to fix this. It is better to use it as sense of safety and security. Although it is used as I suggested in some places, it is not used in some places.
5. It is very important to know how we can first understand that an urban open space is safe without doing research: The amount of density of children and women in that open space. I think the authors should emphasize this.
6. If they expand on this a little more, it will be a richer study.