

Review of: "A method to reduce false positives in a patent query"

Ana Reyes-Menendez¹

¹ Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this article. I hope that the authors find my comments productive and that they help them to improve their research work.

In this article the authors present: The method to reduce false positives in a patent query.

Regarding the keywords analyzed, it is considered well structured since they are exposed at the beginning and presentation of the work.

In the introduction, the topic to be investigated is presented in a correct and structured way, since it contextualizes the objectives to be dealt with, based on theories of other updated authors.

In addition, the authors must indicate the objective and the main motivations of the research that support the contextualization of the data and graphics relevant to the subject of study. That is, the two research questions are indicated explaining the structure of the work below.

Regarding the tables and graphs, in addition to the numbers with the title, the source from which they are obtained or if they are made by the author must also be indicated (it has been observed that this information is missing in all the tables and graphs). of the paper).

In the methodology section, Literature review/Theoretical framework, it is advisable to explain what this methodology consists of for the better knowledge of the readers.

It is advisable to justify the data and theories presented, as well as the most specific terms.

Regarding author citations, the format must be followed: APA. (When quoting an author who has said something recently, the APA citation style is used where only the

date in parentheses). It is also important that they are in chronological order rather than being numbered in reference to the reference section.

The analysis of results, its presentation is adequate, since the data analyzed are correctly exposed.

There are no implications in which the authors compare the results with previous scientific background

The references are correct, although it is always advisable to increase the number of theories by other authors, to improve the chances of publication. Reinforce that point

The research topic presented by the authors is interesting, but it requires the suggested improvements and the updating of the data presented