

Peer Review

Review of: "Validation of the Young Schema Questionnaire (YSQ-S3) in Mexican University Students"

Modesto Solis Espinoza¹

1. Independent researcher

I think it's good work. I congratulate you on the results of your research. I would only recommend correcting a few details.

There is a small error at the beginning. In the summary, there is an error in the percentage of psychology students.

I would consider including a more detailed description of how the sample was obtained, as it is not clear how they were chosen, whether it was through a direct invitation from the research group or if the university authorities made the selection. This information could help interpret the data, anticipating the presence or absence of bias in the type of participant chosen.

Although the relevance of the study is clear and it delves into statistical details, I believe it is necessary to further expand the theoretical context, its origins, and contributions from the theory, in addition to considering the social and practical justification, and in what situations it could be used, which helps to better assess the instrument's contribution.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.