

# Review of: "Maintaining cyberhygiene in the Internet of Things (IoT): An expert consensus study of requisite user behaviours"

Kallam Suresh

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper would benefit from a more detailed description of the methodology used to conduct the expert consensus study. Provide information about the selection criteria for the experts, the data collection process, and the methods employed for data analysis. This will enhance the transparency and reproducibility of the study.

- While the results of the expert consensus study are informative, it would be helpful to include more specific information about the level of consensus achieved for each identified user behavior. For example, indicate whether there was strong agreement among the experts or if there were differing opinions on certain behaviors.
- In the discussion section, provide a more comprehensive analysis and interpretation of the results. Explore potential reasons behind any diverging opinions among the experts and discuss the implications of these differences for promoting cyberhygiene in the IoT.
- The paper briefly mentions potential limitations of the study, such as the selection bias of the experts or the generalizability of the findings. Expand on these limitations and discuss potential strategies to address them. Additionally, consider discussing any limitations related to the consensus study methodology itself.