

Review of: "Systematic Review on Effective Psychotherapies And/or Combined Treatments With Patients With Severe and Comorbidity"

Maryam Daghiani¹

¹ Mashhad University of Medical Sciences

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear author,

Thanks for the opportunity to review the interesting manuscript. I have some comments and look forward to your reply.

Abstract:

1. " For this purpose, the author applied PRISMA declaration principles and the PICOS criterion" - it doesn't need to mention this sentence in the abstract.
2. You mentioned redundant information in the body of the abstract, where the important part should be mentioned briefly, and didn't mention the result and conclusion sections!! "In the first search, the author selected 95 (N=95) articles, of which 90% of the analysis units came from databases in Europe and the United States. Then, the author conducted three other searches, which selected 53 articles (N= 53), excluding the findings of European and USA databases because they yielded results that were too extensive. Tables I, II, and III summarize the findings." Read similar articles and mention the most important findings in the abstract

Introduction

1. For one paragraph which contains many sentences, you used only one reference! Are all the sentences from that one?
2. " This systematic review reflects what clinicians and researchers are doing to improve this situation." Is this your aim of the study? If it is, try not to use the words "this" and "that" in the aim section. You should mention your aim and scope clearly.
3. Mention your population in the aim paragraph.
4. " In order to collect scientific articles from the last ten years in databases " - what do you mean by the last ten years? Mention exactly the years, like 2019-2024.
5. I can't figure out in which kind of population you did your research. Which disease?
6. Are you kidding? You did your systematic review on FREE articles only???" Databases used were DOAJ, PubMed,

PsycNet in their free versions!!!” You lost a huge sample of data!

7. Why did you roll out children and adolescents?

I think if you fix the comments, the method and the result sections will be changed, so I did not review the other sections.

Please fix the comments and upload the manuscript once again.