

Peer Review

Review of: "Expanding Contracting Authorities Across the Department of Health and Human Services Family of Agencies to Address Critical Public Health Needs"

Kamal Hossain¹

1. Independent researcher

1. There is a discrepancy between fundamental logic and Argumentative Issues: The main causal link is not validated, conflating the FAR waiver and OTA authority and overstating the potentials of solutions.
2. The conclusion is highly generalized, there is a lack of counterargument and analysis of risks, and the study is argumentative instead of relying on evidence.
3. The study ignores recent developments, is repetitive, narrowly focused, and the title of the study is not in coherence with the broader picture of the study. Therefore, keeping in mind several flaws in the study, I would prefer to reject the paper.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.