

Review of: "Incidence risk of major reproductive disorders of dairy cows and cow-level risk factors in Wolaita Sodo town, southern Ethiopia"

Ricardo Faria¹

¹ Instituto Politécnico de Santarém

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors,

Congratulations on your study and dedication to research, I think your study is interesting, important for your country and for the world to understand what is happening.

Below are some suggestions that could improve, in my opinion, your study.

Introduction - Although it is good, it has very long sentences, which makes reading tiring. Correcting this would be important

Material and methods

Population studied - The first paragraph is not material and methods, be careful, you are writing a scientific article and not a book!

Study design and sample size - Where is the number of animals studied? Enter the number of animals on the 85 farms, to have an estimate of the % studied.

Data collection

Questionnaire survey - It would be of interest to include the questionnaire in the work as an attached table, so that if anyone intends to repeat the study, they can have access. All scientific studies must be repeatable and this information is necessary for this.

Monitoring of cows - The last sentence of this point should come first... and considering the difficulties, which the number of animals certainly presents is not large, but it is a start.

Result

They should avoid using this type of phrase: "The incidence risk of the other reproductive disorders identified during the study period is presented in Table 1 below." If they need to tell the reader to look at the results in the table, the study is not well presented, they should put it between relatives (Table 1) in the sentence before if they have nothing more to say.

Risk factors for reproductive disorders - The first paragraph is not results, this is material and methods! The last line of the first paragraph is the same as what I said in the previous point.

Discussion

The first sentence in all paragraphs of the Discussion are results, you cannot put your results here in the discussion, you can and should include other studies for comparison.

And throughout the discussion values of results emerge, this cannot happen, they must correct this.

Conclusion

It's very big!

It should be short and about what they evaluated and stop beating around the bush. Your study is interesting, you found too many problems in animals and in a large group of animals.

That's what they need to say and a last sentence to finish, suggesting what creators should improve XX, XXX and XXXX.

Regards,

The reviewer.