

Review of: "Knowledge among Health care workers (HCWs) regarding biomedical waste management (BMW) during COVID-19 Pandemic"

Robert Adu¹

1 University of Energy and Natural Resources

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

ARTICLE REVIEW

Title: Knowledge among Health Care Workers (HCWs) regarding Biomedical Waste Management (BWM) during COVID-19 Pandemic

Review Comments:

The authors have made a good attempt to do a study on an important subject, namely the management of biomedical waste during the pandemic. The effort must be commended.

A few comments:

- 1. It seems to me that the aspect of biomedical waste management (BWM) which the authors were studying was Infection Waste Management. BWM is a very broad area of study, and I don't think that the doctors and nurses at SKIMS have more knowledge than sanitary workers and technicians on biomedical waste handling or treatment. Therefore, if the title of the study can be revised to specify exactly what aspect of BWM they researched, it will be good.
- 2. The 'Gender' in Table 5 should be changed to 'Experience' to reflect the variable under study.
- 3. The first mention of KAP should include its meaning (Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices). This also applies to SKIMS.
- 4. In your methodology, is it possible to include a sub-section on Study Area or Study Site, showing where SKIMS is?
- 5. Please indicate also in the Methodology what statistical tool was used to arrive at the p-values.
- 6. The scores for nursing staff in Table 3 do not support your statement in the discussion section that says: Among nursing group female had a higher mean knowledge score compared with their male counter parts.
- 7. I will suggest to the authors to please use 'Grammarly' software or any other suitable software to check the grammatical quality of the manuscript before submitting it for publication.