

Review of: "Death needs, culture and emotional death proximity: Keys to intervene in social discrimination"

Kwisoon Choe¹

¹ Chung-Ang University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The research topic is engaging. However, the focus of this paper is not clear.

In the introduction session, please describe how death attitudes affect social discrimination (sexism, racism, and ableism).

I don't understand how the change in the definition of death from cardiac to brain death due to the need for organ transplantation has anything to do with the topic of this paper.

Many of the references cited are older than ten years. Please cite papers within five years (at least ten years).

"Death attitudes are thus a tendency to automatically evaluate death as better or worse over time." — This sentence needs a rationale.

"If valence is at the core of attitudes, then death valence is at the core of death attitudes."-- It is questionable whether this conclusion can be drawn. This sentence also needs a rationale.

The author(s) mentioned many concepts related to death, so It is difficult to understand what the author(s) wants to say, and the paper's focus is unclear. How do these concepts relate to the topic of this paper? Please reduce the concepts in the introduction and describe the main concepts in depth. Unfortunately, as a reader, I don't understand what the author(s) is trying to say.

The title and content of the paper do not seem to match.