

Review of: "[Review Article] How to Form the Human Brain"

Sayan Basak¹

¹ Biocon (India)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The review offers a comprehensive analysis of various societal challenges, spanning from diseases of modernity to education, social skills, and health. Its strong scientific foundation, integrating research from neuroscience, psychology, and sociology, lends credibility to its arguments. Moreover, prioritizing happiness as a societal goal demonstrates a holistic approach, considering both hedonic and eudemonic aspects. Recognizing the importance of social and emotional learning alongside traditional education highlights a well-rounded approach to personal development. Additionally, the review provides practical recommendations for fostering happiness, promoting education, enhancing social skills, and improving health, demonstrating its relevance to real-world issues. Finally, the acknowledgment of ethical dilemmas and concerns about influencing individual behavior reflects a thoughtful consideration of moral implications.

However, the breadth of topics covered may lead to a lack of depth in certain areas, potentially overlooking nuances or alternative perspectives. Furthermore, while the review discusses theoretical strategies, it could benefit from providing more specific and actionable policy recommendations for implementation. Moreover, an overreliance on a scientific perspective may overlook cultural, ethical, and societal complexities, potentially hindering inclusivity and relevance. The use of dense scientific terminology or abstract concepts could also impede accessibility for readers without a background in the relevant fields. Additionally, while the review acknowledges potential criticisms, it could engage more deeply with counterarguments and alternative perspectives to strengthen its analysis. Finally, there's a limited exploration of cultural diversity and its impact on societal goals and strategies, suggesting a need for enhanced cultural sensitivity.

To address these weaknesses, the review could benefit from deeper engagement with interdisciplinary perspectives, incorporating insights from disciplines such as anthropology, economics, and political science. Moreover, enhancing cultural sensitivity and considering contextual factors would help tailor strategies to specific societal contexts and foster inclusivity. Additionally, providing more specific and actionable policy recommendations, supported by empirical evidence and stakeholder consultation, would enhance the review's practical relevance. Simplifying complex concepts and utilizing clear, engaging language would also improve accessibility for a broader audience. Finally, engaging more deeply with ethical considerations, such as autonomy, justice, and human rights, would enrich the discussion and address potential moral dilemmas more comprehensively.