

# Review of: "The Role of Platelet Rich Plasma Injections in Cases of Stress Incontinence"

SAIDA ABRAR

**Potential competing interests:** The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

Dear Authors

I appreciate your effort in addressing this important topic and the emerging role of PRP in the mid-urethral slings controversy. However, there are some concerns which I have pointed out below. The study design is by no way a randomized trial due to the absence of a control group and randomization. The methodology section is poorly written. It should be streamlined, mentioning the study setting, population, and duration of the study. How did you define SUI as mild, moderate, and severe? Was it a subjective definition or a validated questionnaire was used? You should give a detail of all the questionnaires, regarding their Likert scales and how the total points are obtained on each individual scale, specifically what is the cut-off for poor sexual functions on FSFI? The result section is better presented but again the tests used for individual analysis are missing. The discussion needs to be rewritten. First document your study findings and then compare them with the literature giving a plausible explanation where your findings are in contrast with other studies. Then write the limitations of your study in detail including no control group. The first paragraph is just a repetition of the introduction, which needs to be modified. In the end you cant be so confident to give such a strong conclusion on the basis of this small sample size and the improperly selected study design, rather you can suggest Final comment Since its an innovative topic and the potential role of PRP in the treatment of SUI, I would give 3/5) stars. However, it requires revision addressing the highlighted mistakes.

Regards Dr Saida Abrar