

Review of: "Detection of Hepatitis B Virus Serological markers among Adult HIV Positive Female Patients on HAART in Ogun State, Nigeria"

Bernard Wandera

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

The paper is relevant and has merit. The references used represent the most current information, the results are well discussed and the reader could easily understand the findings of this study. The positivity with all the HBV markers has been well explained. However, I want to point out a few things that I think could be done differently.

1. The reader might be asking the question why only the patients $>$ or $=$ to 18 years. This is not explained anywhere in the eligibility criteria. Don't you think this could amount to sampling bias?
2. The author says that participants completed the consent form in their own hand writing, whereas in the results section, 14.5% of the participants did not have any formal education. Does it also mean that those who were above 18 years (as per the inclusion criteria) but could not read and write were also excluded from this study? Please account for the disparity in the information.
3. The abbreviations were not mentioned and maintained in this article.