

Review of: "Which Attributes Explain Gender Differences in Impostor Syndrome Scores in Medicine and Health Sciences Students: A Secondary Multivariate Analysis"

Tanisha Jow¹

¹ Bond University, Australia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a well-crafted study that presents findings from a substantial dataset. The introduction reads well and sets up the importance of the research. I found it interesting to read, which is always a good thing if you want your readers to keep reading. The Clance Impostor Phenomenon Scale (CIPS) is a good choice of tool for this study.

I am unfamiliar with Qeios as a publishing format, so I'm not sure whether the authors can easily make changes to the paper or not. If they can, I'd suggest adding a sentence into the introduction to make clear that although gender can include non-binary and gender fluidity, for the purpose of this study you are solely concerned with male and female genders. That would help set up reader expectations.

The results are clear, and the discussion raises insights. A solid paper, many thanks.