

Review of: "Assessment of Learner Satisfaction in Secondary School Education"

A.A. Hussen

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

My comments

Though this study has some strength, I just listed out the weaknesses that need to be improved

- 1. Abstract is place where readers conceptualize the main aspects of the paper. However, your abstract part is unable to address research design followed, primary and secondary sources of data used.
- 2. Key words under the abstract part need to be alphabetically arranged
- Under the **introduction part**, the researcher tried to show the gap that the present research is going to address. However, the researcher is unable to clearly show the actual problem that the county has faced in relation to the study topic or the actual problem that initiated the researcher to undertake this study. Introduction should also be critically supported with theories. I expected the researcher to discuss theories of satisfaction/motivation. However, you failed to touch even a single paragraph about it. Background should also be stated from wider to narrow context. Meaning it should be stated from international trend to your local (Kenya) area context (deductive to inductive approach). But this research is unable to show this.
- Objectives. Though, the basic research question of the present study are not clearly mentioned in any part of this study, readers can understand that it is in line with the specific research objectives raised. But, the second specific objective seems not that much appropriate
- 2. It is good if the research is clearly delimited in terms of scope
- 3. **Methodology**. The sources of data (both primary and secondary) were not clearly mentioned. Again, the source of data used to collect data for the completion of this research was only students that were invited to fill questionnaire and interviewed. For me it is better if you have also collected data from teachers, education experts, school principals/heads or leaders in order to verify whether the data collected from the students was well addressed or not.
- 4. Instruments. The researchers stated that they used questionnaire to collect data. However, they are unable to explain how many items were there? is the questionnaire adapted (modified or self made) or adopted? to achieve which objective or answer which BRQ have the researchers used questionnaire? what kind of measure have been taken after the pilot test? all this issues were not satisfactorily discussed. In addition to this, please explain how you have captured the interview data. Direct voice of the interviewee captured through tape recorder or note taking technique used?
- 5. Analysis part. Learners response was placed in the HSG group if their response to the satisfaction question was



agree or strongly agree, and in the LSG group if their response is strongly disagree, disagree, or neutral. Is it fair to categorize neutral as LSG? please check it again and re-write this part

- 6. Discussion part seems okay
- 7. Conclusion and recommendation part need to be written as per the research objectives. please re-write

Qeios ID: BJ5PDH · https://doi.org/10.32388/BJ5PDH