

Review of: "Perceptions and Attitudes about COVID-19 Vaccines Regarding Vaccine Intention and Hesitancy of Attendants of a Healthcare Center in Northern Cyprus"

Clara Amaka Nkpoikanke Akpan¹

¹ Michael Okpara University of Agriculture

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors,

You have made considerable effort in analyzing the perceptions and attitude of some group of individuals to COVID 19 vaccinations. The work (write-up), however can be improved upon as follows:

1. Abstract: the first sentence/statement under result is incoherent; needs to be rephrased for a better understanding.
2. Introduction/Objectives - line 31: there should be space between 'WHO Strategic Advisory Group of Experts' and its acronym (SAGE).
3. The statements 'Cross sectional study of 428 patients and other attendants who applied to the healthcare center ...' and '428 patients and their companions participated in the study ...' which appeared under Abstract (Methods) and Sampling methods respectively, are confusing and need to be rephrased for clarity.
4. More Healthcare units, other than just one, should have been used for the study, irrespective of their size. This would have gone a long way in increasing the sample number as well as eliminating bias, since no previous data on similar work done in Northern Cyprus was available at the time of this convenience study.
5. Title of Tables should always come before the table it is identifying.
6. Results: just after Table 1, the first sentence should be 'The participants' history ...' and not 'The participants history ...'
7. The first statement under Discussion should also be rephrased for better understanding.

Best wishes!