

Review of: "Tweeting AI: A Machine Learning Approach for Bird Species Detection and Classification"

Rajesh Kanna P1

1 Bannari Amman Institute of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. The manuscript should be proofread further, to improve the presentation clarity. (The intended meaning often lacks clarity)
- 2. The proposed solution is briefly described. A lot of information should be given here about the consideration of the developed architecture.
- 3. How do you deal with dataset imbalance problems? Did you consider any data augmentation techniques?
- 4. Discuss the limits of the proposed approach and the validity of experimental results.
- 5. There are generalized statements and hence author needs to identify precisely the problem they wish to solve.
- 6. Results need to be briefly discussed.
- 7. Overall, The author must highlight the scientific contribution, describe better his/her approach, and give enough information to compare the results.
- 8. Further Papers given can be read and used

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.116545

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2021.107132

https://doi.org/10.47750/pnr.2022.13.04.223

Qeios ID: BL8909 · https://doi.org/10.32388/BL8909