

Peer Review

Review of: "Ethical Dimensions of Artificial Intelligence in Educational Technology and Policy: A Global Bibliometric Analysis (2020–2025)"

Anjum John¹

1. Pushpagiri Medical College, Tiruvalla, India

It is an excellent paper – the concept is good and relevant, and other objectives are clearly mentioned.

To improve the quality of the manuscript, I would recommend that the authors do a thorough spell check and check for typos in the manuscript. Also, to read the manuscript out loud in order to see if the sentences mean what the authors meant them to mean.

Page 3, last line: Repetition of the word “The”

Please explain why the search strategy table (which is excellent, by the way) includes the fact that articles had to refer to either laws, policies, or ethical issues with the use of AI in education?

3.2: The use of “it certainly does not had”

Table 2 or Table 1: Please clarify if the table on the distribution of publications by subject area is Table 1 or 2.

RQ 2: Sentence starting “The green cluster indicated the care that needed to be taken with technological”... Rewrite the sentence in the paragraph “It did not take long to see words such as policy, ethical issues, and responsible use to make the meaning clearer.

“While some terms directed towards colleges or medical colleges, the being in the issue..” – please clarify the meaning of this sentence.

RQ3: The blue cluster which included China,...” here ‘indicateed’”

Rewrite the paragraph starting “Even if these countries were not explicitly acting as co-operators...”

Page 15: "The red cluster considered how teachers perform teaching"-- please make the meaning clearer with the use of appropriate words.

Page 17: "The close communities of authors(please rewrite)

Conclusion: Please review the spelling of the word "focuseed"

Moving forward, it 'is" essential....

The article is good, but I wonder if the authors lost track of the objectives of the research, which was to identify ethics in AI in publications, and focused more on the qualitative part of the studies.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.