

Review of: "[Commentary] Recognising Physical and Mental Health Issues in Neurodivergent Females: Opinion Piece"

Blair Braden¹

¹ Arizona State University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Kelley et al., present a timely and important overview of common health challenges experienced by neurodivergent females and recommendations for the future. This piece is generally well thought out and written, but I do have some suggestions for improvement.

My main suggestion is that more consistent citations be incorporated into the piece. Generally speaking, every sentence that makes a claim needs to have a reference to support this claim. There may be some exceptions for topic and closing sentences, but every sentence in the body of each paragraph needs a citation.

Throughout the paper, it would be helpful to add context for cited literature. For example, findings from thousands of healthcare records tend to carry more rigor than a few dozen self-reported survey responses. It would be nice to have more information about the data and study design that are supporting the claims.

It would be better for "Challenges with the Clinician" to come later in the paper. Especially since it has some recommendations for clinicians, it would be helpful to have a full picture of the health concerns presented first.

"Neuroconvergence" is an interesting idea that warrants elaboration. Perhaps this concept could begin the paragraph and the recommendations/priorities could tie back.

A minor stylistic recommendation – at the end of page three, "however" is used several times in succession which reads a bit clunky.